

United States Patent and Trademark Office

 Ψ

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/645,330	08/21/2003	Richard C. Foss	2037.1004-007	7565	
21005 7	590 04/21/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. 530 VIRGINIA ROAD			TRAN, MICH	TRAN, MICHAEL THANH	
P.O. BOX 9133			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CONCORD, MA 01742-9133			2818		
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			hel
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/645,330	FOSS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Michael T Tran	2818	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspond nc addre	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a result in the second period for reply within the second period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of th od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commissions. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ The 3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal ma		erits is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. * See the attached detailed Office action for a line.	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Sta	age
	. 11		
Attachment(s)	1.7		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	, 	/ Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0803</u>. 		Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)

Application/Control Number: 10/645,330

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

1. In response to the Communications dated August 21, 2003, claims 1-17 are active in this application.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed August 21, 2003 has been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

3. Claims 3 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. What is meant by the phrase "the real circuit path"? Further, it appears that the phrase lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,330 Page 3

Art Unit: 2818

4. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-17 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,657,919. This is a double patenting rejection.

Conclusion

- 5. When responding to the Office action, Applicants are advised to provide the Examiner with line and page numbers of the application and/or references cited to assist the Examiner in the prosecution of this case.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Michael T. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1795. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30-6:00 P.M.
- 7. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1650.

Michael T. Tran Art Unit 2818 April 7, 2004